# Watershed Conditions Report For the State of Kansas HUC 11070205 (Middle Neosho) Watershed



Ph otograph Courtesy of the Arkansas-Red Basin River Forecast Center <a href="www.srh.noaa.gov/abrfc/index.html">www.srh.noaa.gov/abrfc/index.html</a>

## Kansas Department of Health & Environment Bureau of Water

Watershed Management Section 1000 SW Jackson Topeka, KS 66612



## Watershed Conditions Report For HUC 8 11070205 (Middle Neosho)

Prepared by
Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE)
Nonpoint Source Section
6/12/01

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Watershed Conditions Report is designed to serve as a water quality "atlas", and is intended to provide stakeholders in water quality with a tool to assess the condition of water resources within their watershed. Surface water quality for HUC 8 11070205 streams and rivers is generally fair with many of the surface water bodies supporting their designated uses. The primary pollutant concern within HUC 8 11070205 streams and rivers is low dissolved oxygen. Low DO levels typically coincide with an abundance of algae, which may be caused by excess nutrients. An abundance of algae causes the population of decomposers to increase, which in turn uses up oxygen in the stream or river. High water temperatures caused by lack of riparian shading and shallow water can also lower DO levels. Additional pollutant concerns within the watershed include fecal coliform bacteria (FCB), and ammonia.

There are a few large reservoirs and many smaller lakes within HUC 8 11070205. The primary pollutant concern for lakes within the watershed is eutrophication. Eutrophication is a natural process which creates conditions favorable for algae blooms and excess plant growth. This process is often accelerated by excess nutrient loading from the watershed. Additional pollutant concerns for lakes within the watershed include, low dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform bacteria, silt, low flow and pH.

Groundwater resources in HUC 8 11070205 include the alluvial aquifers of the Neosho River, shallow "non-principal" aquifers, and portions of the Ozark aquifer. Water from these aquifers is generally in good condition with naturally occurring minerals and nitrate as the primary pollutant concerns.

#### **PURPOSE**

The Watershed Conditions Report is designed to serve as a water quality "atlas" for a given watershed, and is intended to provide Watershed Stakeholders Committees (WSC) with a tool to assess the condition of water resources within their watershed.

#### BACKGROUND

The Clean Water Act mandates that States assess the quality of their waters and implement Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for water bodies that do not meet their designated uses. The following is a summary of steps taken by the State of Kansas to comply with these requirements of the Clean Water Act.

The Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) prepared the Kansas Unified Watershed Assessment in 1998. This assessment classifies the State's watersheds into four categories. A Category I classification means the watershed is in need of restoration due to having water quality impairments or degradation of other natural resources related to an aquatic habitat, ecosystem health and other factors related to aquatic life resources. Category II watersheds are in need of protection. Category III are watersheds with pristine or sensitive aquatic system conditions on lands administered by federal, state, or tribal governments. Category IV watersheds are those for which there is insufficient data to make accurate classification. KDHE has assigned a restoration priority score to each Category I watershed.

As mandated by section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act, lakes and streams within the Category I watersheds, which do not meet water quality standards, are published biannually in the 303(d) list. Subsequently, lakes and streams which appear on the 303 (d) list are scheduled to have a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) prepared. KDHE is currently preparing TMDLs for impaired stream segments located within the highest restoration priority watersheds.

To restore water quality within the Category I watersheds, KDHE recommends the implementation of a Watershed Restoration and Protection Strategy (WRAPS). The ultimate goal of the WRAPS process is to create and implement a plan to restore the health of water bodies that do not meet their water quality standards. Additionally, the WRAPS process will insure that water bodies that currently meet their water quality standards are protected.

KDHE recommends that the WRAPS process be implemented on a local level by a Watershed Stakeholders Committee (WSC). The WSC would have the responsibility of working with local and state agencies to develop a WRAPS plan. This plan should identify the following: public outreach methods; required monitoring activities based on water quality goals and outcomes; specific water quality problems; watershed coordinator/evaluator; actions to be taken to achieve water quality goals and outcomes; schedule for implementation of needed restoration measures; and funding needs.

#### **Streams and Rivers**

#### HUC 8 11070205


The Huc 8 11070205 watershed is ranked twenty-fourth in priority for watershed restoration throughout the state. According to the Unified Watershed Assessment, 22.8% of the total miles of water in this watershed do not meet their designated uses. See Attachment 1 for a map of streams and rivers in HUC 8 11070205.

#### **Designated Uses**

This watershed is primarily a drainage basin for the Neosho River and its tributaries. Surface waters in this watershed are generally used for aquatic life support, food procurement, livestock water and primary contact recreation. There are 29 public water supplies within the watershed, many of which draw water from the Neosho River and it's alluvium.

Huc 8 11070205 Surface Water Uses

Figure 1



pS=Special Aquatic Life Use Water

pE=Expected Aquatic Life Use Water

pFP=Food Procurement

pDWS=Designated for domestic water supply use.

pGR=Designated for ground water recharge.

pLW=Designated for livestock watering use.

**p**IWS=Designated for industrial water supply use.

pIRR=Designated for irrigation use.

pPCR=Designated for contact recreational use.


#### **TMDL/Contaminate Concerns**


Streams and rivers throughout Kansas have been sub-divided into segments. By dividing the streams and rivers into segments they can be better analyzed and understood. A reach of river or stream may have segments which vary greatly in water quality, based on surrounding land uses. The figures below display the impairments of the streams and rivers based on the number of segments sampled.

Surface waters not meeting their designated uses will require total maximum daily loads (TMDLs). Figure 2 shows 14% of the stream/river segments sampled need TMDLs.

As shown below some of the primary pollutant concerns of this watersheds' streams and rivers are low dissolved oxygen (DO), fecal coliform bacteria (FCB), and Ammonia (NH3). Approximately 82% of the impaired stream and river segments are impaired by DO, 9% by FCB, and 9% by NH3 (see Figure 3).

Figure 2 Figure 3





Low DO levels typically coincide with an abundance of algae. This causes the population of decomposers to increase which in turn uses up oxygen in the stream or river. FCB is a bacteria present in human and animal waste. It serves as an indicator of potential disease causing organisms. Ammonia is a chemical which is toxic to fish and other aquatic organisms.

#### **Potential Pollution Sources**

Potential sources of FCB include feedlots, livestock, some older wastewater treatment facilities, septic systems, and wildlife. Potential sources of ammonia include livestock, septic systems, wildlife, and wastewater facilities.

Analyzing the land uses within this watershed helps to understand which land uses might have greater influences on the source of the impairments. Below are a list of the land uses in this watershed which can effect a stream or river segment. Grassland is considered grazingland for livestock.

p Urban Area.....1%
 p Row Crop....30.9%
 p Grassland....60.7%
 p Wooded area....5.5%
 p Water area....1.6%
 p Other....0%

**Feedlots:** In Kansas, confined animal feeding operations (CAFOs) with greater than 300 animal units must register with KDHE. There are approximately 95 registered CAFOs located within HUC8 11070205 (this number, which is based on best available information, may be dated and subject to change). Waste disposal practices and waste water effluent quality are closely monitored by KDHE for these registered CAFOs to determine the need for runoff control practices or structure. Because of this monitoring, registered CAFOs are not considered a significant threat to water resources within the watershed. A portion of the State's livestock population exists on small unregistered farms. These small unregistered livestock operations may contribute a significant source of fecal coliform bacteria and nutrients, depending on the presence and condition of waste management systems and proximity to water resources.

**Wastewater Treatment Facilities:** There are approximately 18 municipal and industrial wastewater treatment facilities within the watershed (this number may be dated and subject to change). These facilities are currently regulated by KDHE under National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits. These permits specify the maximum amount of pollutants allowed to be discharged to the "waters

of the State". Due to the chlorination processes involved in municipal waste treatment, these facilities are not considered to be a significant source of fecal coliform bacteria; however they may be a significant source of nutrients.

**Septic Systems:** There are currently thousands of septic systems within the watershed and this number is increasing. When properly designed, installed, and maintained, septic systems can act as an effective means of wastewater treatment. However, poorly maintained or "failing" septic systems can leach pollutants into nearby surface waters and groundwater. The exact number of failing septic systems within the watershed is unknown; however the number may be increasing due to the current trends in suburban development. Local Environmental Protection Programs and County health departments may provide excellent sources of information regarding the proper design, installation, and maintenance for septic systems.

**Wildlife:** Wildlife located throughout the watershed are not usually considered a significant source of nonpoint source pollutants. However, during seasonal migrations, concentrations of waterfowl can add significant amounts of fecal coliform bacteria and nutrients into surface water resources.

Row Crop Agriculture: As stated above, approximately 30.9% of the watershed's land is used for row crop agriculture. Row crop agriculture can be a significant source of nonpoint source pollution. Common pollutants from row crop agriculture include sediment, nutrients, pesticides, and fecal coliform bacteria. Many producers within the watershed regularly implement and maintain BMPs to limit the amount of nonpoint source pollutants leaving their farm. Some common BMPs include: the use of contour plowing; use of cover crops; maintaining buffer strips along field edges; and proper timing of fertilizer application.

**Urban/Suburban Runoff:** Many urban landscapes are covered by paved surfaces including roads, driveways, parking lots, and sidewalks. These surfaces are impermeable and tend to divert water into storm drains at high velocities. This increased flow velocity from urban areas can cause severe stream bank erosion in receiving water bodies. Additionally, urban and suburban runoff may carry other pollutants like petroleum hydrocarbons and heavy metals. Currently, the watershed is only about 1% urban. Limiting paved surfaces is the key to slowing urban nonpoint source pollution. The use of grass swales, open spaces, and storm water retention ponds are recommended to slow runoff in urban areas.

The watershed has an increasing population living in suburban areas. Residential landscapes are often designed with large turf areas which require high amounts of water and chemicals to maintain. The use of excessive amounts of fertilizers and lawn care chemicals in residential areas can contribute a significant amount of pollution to nearby water resources. Suburban nonpoint source pollution can be limited by: using less lawn fertilizers and chemicals; control of construction sites; proper disposal of pet waste; establishing large areas of native vegetation; and conserving the amount of water use for plant maintenance.


#### **Lakes & Wetlands**

Huc 8 11070205 is the home to Parsons Lake, Altamont Lake, Oswego Lake, Walnut Lake and several smaller city and county lakes. Parsons Lake is used for recreational purposes as well as a public water supply source for many local communities. The Mined Land Lake and Neosho Wetlands are located in Cherokee County and Neosho County respectively. Some of the smaller lakes in the watershed include Girard Lake, Chetopa Lake and Hepler Lake. See Attachment 2 for a map of lakes in HUC 8 11070205.

#### Designated Uses

According to the Surface Water Register, the majority of the lakes and wetlands in this watershed are designated for expected aquatic life use, primary contact recreation, domestic water supply, industrial water supply, and recreational purposes.

Figure 4




- **p**E=Expected Aquatic Life Use
- p CR=Designated for contact recreational use
- p DW=Designated for domestic water supply use
- p IWS=Industrial Water Supply
- p PCR=Primary contact recreation
- p S=Special Aquatic Life Use

#### **TMDL/Contaminate Concerns**


Surface waters not meeting their designated uses will require total maximum daily loads (TMDL)s. Approximately 63.6% of this watershed's lakes/wetlands sampled need TMDLs (Figure 5). Primary pollutants for this watershed's lakes and wetlands are eutrophication (E), low dissolved oxygen levels (DO), fecal coliform bacteria (FCB), Silt, low flow, and pH. As shown below in Figure 6, approximately 43% of the impaired lakes/wetlands in this watershed are eutrophic, 14.3% have low dissolved oxygen levels, 14.3% are impaired by FCB, 14.3% are impaired by silt, 7.1% have low flow, and 7% have either high or low pH.

Figure 5



Percentage of Lakes/Wetalands Needing

Figure 6



TMDL Distribution

Eutrophication is caused by excess nutrient loading from a variety of nitrogen and phosphorus sources. Low DO levels typically coincide with an abundance of algae. This causes the population of decomposers to increase, which in turn use up the oxygen in the stream or river. FCB is an indicator of potential disease causing organisms which come from feedlots, wastewater treatment facilities, septic systems, pesticides and fertilizers and wildlife. Silt increases the turbidity of the lake, creates a displeasing color, and fills the lake bottom. Low flow may result from drought and can be aggravated by irrigation. pH determines the alkalinity or acidity of water in the lake. If the water is too basic or too acidic it can potentially stress or kill the aquatic life and vegetation.

#### **Potential Pollution Sources**

Based on the watershed's land use percentages, the primary pollutant sources for nutrients causing eutrophication may be row crop agriculture, livestock, feedlots, and septic systems.


#### Groundwater

Major groundwater aquifers underlying this watershed include portions of the Ozark aquifer and alluvial aquifers of the Neosho River and its tributaries.

#### **Designated Uses**

There are approximately 127 groundwater wells located within the watershed. Water from these wells is used for monitoring, domestic use, artificial recharge, public water supply and several other uses as shown below.

Figure 7



#### **Aquifer Characteristics**

Ozark Aquifer: The Ozark aquifer underlies a significant portion of this watershed. Water from

this aquifer is used for rural, domestic and public water supply. Historically water

from this aquifer is very hard.

**Alluvial Aquifer:** Alluvial aquifers of the many streams and creeks exist throughout the watershed.

Alluvial aquifers provide the primary water source for many public water supplies located with in the watershed. Water quality in alluvial aquifers is generally good; however nitrates, minerals, pesticides and bacteria can be pollutant concerns.

#### **Potential Pollution Types and Sources**

Common groundwater pollutants include: nitrates, chloride, sulfates, bacteria and atrazine. Nitrate impaired groundwater is perhaps the most prevalent groundwater contamination problem in the State.

**Nitrate:** Nitrate is a naturally occurring compound and is an essential component of all living matter. However, high concentrations of nitrate in drinking water can cause adverse health effects including "blue baby" syndrome. Sources of nitrate include municipal waste water treatment plant discharges, runoff from livestock operations, leaching of fertilizer from urban and agricultural areas, and failing septic systems.

**Chloride:** Chloride is a naturally occurring mineral found in Kansas lakes, streams, and groundwater. In high concentrations, chloride can cause deterioration of domestic plumbing, water heaters, and municipal water works. The primary source of chloride impacted groundwater is intrusion of salt water from deeper formations, often due to improperly constructed water wells which allow confined aquifers to come into contact with each other.

**Sulfates:** Sulfate is a naturally occurring mineral that can cause taste and odor problems in drinking water. Sulfates are dissolved into groundwater as the water moves through various sulfur containing rock formations.

**Bacteria:** Fecal coliform bacteria are found in the digestive systems of warm blooded animals. In the environment coliform bacteria is an indicator of potential disease causing organisms. Potential sources of bacteria contamination in groundwater include livestock facilities, septic systems, pets, and wildlife. Many wells are impacted by bacteria due to improper construction which allows water from the surface to funnel directly into the well.

**Ammonia:** Ammonia is a chemical which is toxic to fish and aquatic organisms. Sources of ammonia are livestock, septic tanks, fertilizer, municipal and industrial waste.

**TSS:** TSS stands for Total Suspended Solids which are particles such as soil, algae, and finely divided plant material suspended in water. Sources of TSS are soil erosion from cropland, stream banks, or construction sites, and municipal and industrial waste.


**VOCs:** Volatile Organic Compounds, also called purgeable organics, are components of fuels and solvents. They are ingredients in many household and industrial products. Sources of VOCs are leaking fuel storage tanks, trash dumps, and some agricultural pesticides.

**Iron:** Iron is a naturally occurring element found in the soil throughout Kansas. It is an annoyance as it has an objectionable taste, causes a red stain to porcelain fixtures and laundry, and causes plumbing irritations.

**Manganese:** Manganese is a naturally occurring element and causes an unpleasant taste in drinking water, stains porcelain and laundry, and collects deposits in plumbing. It is naturally occurring throughout the soils in the state.


## Attachment 1 Map

## HUC 11070205 (Middle Neosho) Lakes






# HUC 11070205 (Middle Neosho) Groundwater Aquifers





# HUC 11070205 Streams and Rivers





# HUC 11070205 (Middle Neosho) Watershed Boundary

